

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/802,449	03/16/2004	Carl M. Panasik	TI-36930 (032350.B589)	4007
23494	23494 7590 12/21/2005		EXAMINER	
	STRUMENTS INCC 5474, M/S 3999	DAGOSTA, STEPHEN M		
DALLAS, T	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2683	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/802,449	PANASIK ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen M. D'Agosta	2683			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo		/ 10 05T TO 5 YOUR & MONTH!	0) 00 THEFT (00) DAYO			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
, <u> </u>	•	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
• -	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.	•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•			
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
•—	The drawing(s) filed on 16 March 2004 is/are:		o by the Examiner.			
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	su.			
Attachmen		_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2683

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated – the figure 1 concept appears to be known in the art. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-7, 12 and 14-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartwell et al. US 2003/0071766 and further in view of Zmarthie US 2002/0193091.

As per claims 1 and 12, Hartwell teaches an automated emergency alert system (para. 39 teaches calling 911), comprising:

a portable communication device operable to initiate communication over a wireless telecommunications network (figure 3, #38 teaches mobile communications device);

Art Unit: 2683

a dynamic sensor operable to generate an acceleration profile for the handheld portable communication device (para. #37 teaches an accelerometer or gyroscope and para #39 teaches determining if a user crashes);

a memory operable to store one or more predefined acceleration profiles, each predefined acceleration profile associated with an emergency event (Para #39 teaches measuring the force of impact and comparing it to a threshold, which would be stored in memory, and reads on a profile);

one or more processors (figure 3, #30)collectively operable to:

receive from the dynamic sensor an acceleration profile/threshold for the handheld portable communication device AND access one or more predefined acceleration profiles/thresholds stored in the memory AND compare the acceleration profile/threshold received from the dynamic sensor to the one or more predefined acceleration profiles/thresholds stored in the memory to determine if the acceleration profile substantially matches a predefined acceleration profile/threshold in the one or more predefined acceleration profiles/thresholds AND if it is determined that the acceleration profile/threshold received from the dynamic sensor substantially matches a predefined acceleration profile/threshold in the one or more predefined acceleration profiles/thresholds stored in the memory, initiate a communication using the wireless telecommunications network to one or more emergency call centers to notify the emergency call center that the emergency event has occurred (Para. #39 teaches measuring and comparing the force of impact and then calling an emergency/911 center if the user does not respond, which resets the device to "normal").

#### But is silent on handheld.

Hartwell's main focus is on a person who is engaging in an activity that typically involves wearing a helmet (para #2), hence he has integrated all the electronics into said helmet for convenience. One skilled would also want this same type of emergency notification as they go through their everyday life and would locate it in a portable device that they carry with them all the time (eg. a cell phone, PDA, etc.).

Art Unit: 2683

Zmarthie teaches a portable/wearable device with accelerometer that generates a distress signal should the person wearing/carrying the device be rendered unable to communicate (abstract and Para. #59). Hence one skilled would use the distress system in device that can be worn or carried.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Harwell, such that the device is handheld, to provide means for the device to be portable/wearable so that a user can carry it on their person (and not have to wear a helmet).

As per **claims 3-4 and 14,** Hartwell teaches claim 1/12, wherein the handheld portable communication device further comprises a location receiver (eg. GPS recvr) operable to determine a location of the handheld portable communication device (figure 3, #34).

As per claims 5 and 15, Hartwell teaches claim 3/14, wherein the one or more processors are operable to: determine a velocity of the handheld portable communication device using the location receiver (GPS receivers can inherently determine velocity and Hartwell teaches determining the user's speed, location and direction, see Para #37); and in addition to determining whether the acceleration profile received from the dynamic sensor substantially matches a predefined acceleration profile stored in the memory, use the determined velocity to determine whether an emergency event has occurred (Para. #39 teaches using the accelerometer to determine crash impact but speed, location and direction would also be used).

As per claims 6 and 16, Hartwell teaches claim 3/14, wherein the one or more processors are further operable to communicate location information identifying the location of the handheld portable communication device to the one or more emergency call centers if it is determined that the acceleration profile received from the dynamic sensor substantially matches a predefined acceleration profile stored in the memory (para #39 teaches sending the location of the user to the emergency center per the GPS location).

Art Unit: 2683

As per claims 7 and 17, Hartwell teaches claim 1/12, wherein one or more components in the wireless communication network are operable to determine a location of the handheld portable communication device in compliance with an enhanced 911 (E911) mandate and to communicate this location to the emergency call center (Para #39 teaches sending location information to a 911 emergency center).

<u>Claims 2 and 13</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartwell/Zmarthie and further in view of Memsic Cell phone Accelerometers/Sensors.

As per claims 2 and 13, Hartwell teaches claim 1/12 but is silent on wherein the dynamic sensor comprises an on-chip accelerometer.

Memsic teaches an on-chip accelerometer that can be used in a cell phone (see IDS document, pages 1-3 which discloses a cell phone with an on-board accelerometer).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Harwell, such that it is a dynamic sensor comprises an on-chip accelerometer, to provide means for the device to be small enough to be integrated into lightweight devices such as cell phones, PDA's, etc..

<u>Claims 8-9 and 18</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartwell/Zmarthie and further in view of Alpert US 5,742,666.

As per claims 8 and 18, Hartwell teaches claim 1/12 but is silent on wherein: the memory is further operable to store one or more prerecorded emergency event messages, each event message associated with one or more emergency events; and the one or more processors are further operable to communicate a prerecorded event message to the emergency call center when a substantial match is determined.

Alpert teaches a cell phone that can detect a crash and send a prerecorded message to an emergency center:

The controller has one memory that stores a pre-programmed telephone number corresponding to an emergency responder and a second memory that stores a pre-recorded emergency message

Art Unit: 2683

including identification information associated with the user of the telephone. A microphone provides voice signals to the controller when the user speaks into it. The controller detects a distress situation based on inputs from the emergency input key and the crash detector, and then accesses the first memory to get the telephone number which is used to access the message from the second memory, which is then played repeatedly until the voice messages are played. (abstract)

The examiner notes that the claim is broadly written such that Hartwell's device does read on determining an emergency and sending a message (eg. location) to the emergency center whereas Alpert sends a prerecorded message that matches an event (eg. a crash).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Harwell, such that the memory stores one or more prerecorded emergency event messages, each event message associated with one or more emergency events; and the one or more processors are further operable to communicate a prerecorded event message to the emergency call center when a substantial match is determined, to provide means for sending pertinent data to an emergency center based on what actually happened (eg. the user was traveling at a certain rate and had an impact of a certain force).

As per **claim 9**, Hartwell teaches claim 1 **but is silent on** wherein: the memory is further operable to store prerecorded user information regarding a user associated with the handheld portable communication device; and the one or more processors are further operable to communicate the prerecorded user information regarding the user to the emergency call center when a substantial match is determined.

Alpert teaches a cell phone that can detect a crash and send a prerecorded message to an emergency center:

The controller has one memory that stores a pre-programmed telephone number corresponding to an emergency responder and a second memory that stores a pre-recorded emergency message including identification information associated with the user of the telephone. A microphone provides voice signals to the controller when the user speaks into it. The controller detects a

Art Unit: 2683

distress situation based on inputs from the emergency input key and the crash detector, and then accesses the first memory to get the telephone number which is used to access the message from the second memory, which is then played repeatedly until the voice messages are played. (abstract)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Harwell, such that memory is stores prerecorded user information regarding a user associated with the handheld portable communication device; and the one or more processors are further operable to communicate the prerecorded user information regarding the user to the emergency call center when a substantial match is determined, to provide means for sending user-specific info to the emergency center.

Claims 10 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartwell/Zmarthie and further in view of Teller US 2002/0013538.

As per claims 10 and 19, Hartwell teaches claim 1/12, but is silent on further comprising a temperature sensor operable to monitor a temperature near the handheld portable communication device, the one or more processors further operable to: receive from the temperature sensor temperature information regarding the temperature near the handheld portable communication device; and if the information received indicates that the temperature near the handheld portable communication device exceeds a predefined threshold temperature, communicate a message regarding the temperature to the one or more emergency call centers.

Teller teaches a wireless emergency sensing device that uses a temperature sensor:

the sensor unit comprises a temperature sensor that is attached to the individual and produces a temperature signal; the temperature signal provides an indication of whether the individual is in a safe or emergency temperature condition; and the step of producing a health signal comprises producing a temperature signal that indicates a temperature characteristic of the individual. (see claim 10)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Harwell, such that comprising a temperature sensor operable to monitor a

Art Unit: 2683

temperature near the handheld portable communication device, to provide means for measuring many different types of environmental conditions which can be dangerous to the user if near said condition(s).

<u>Claims 11 and 20</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartwell/Zmarthie and further in view of Harderer et al. US 2003/0071446.

As per claims 11 and 20, Hartwell teaches claim 1/12, but is silent on further comprising a water sensor operable to monitor whether the handheld portable communication device is under water, the one or more processors further operable to: receive from the water sensor information regarding whether the handheld portable communication device is under water; and if the information received indicates that the handheld portable communication device is under water, communicate a message indicating that the handheld portable communication device is under water to one or more emergency call centers.

Haderer teaches a device to determine if a person driving an automobile is in/under water:

In addition, with the third occupant protection system, in the event of an accident the belt locks 32 are open for example electromagnetically, so that the occupant after an accident in the vehicle, in particular <u>under water</u>, can easily escape. The <u>moisture sensor 53 for detection of penetrating water is integrated in the printed circuit board of a control device 10' which controls the belt locks 32 or alternatively can be formed as a float in a foot region of the inner space 2. (Para #28).</u>

Since Hartell discloses an "environmental interaction sensor" (Para #28), this broadly reads on measuring any environmental condition, to include the user being in/under water.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Harwell, such that comprising an under water sensor, to provide means for measuring many different types of environmental conditions which can be dangerous to the user if near said condition(s).

Art Unit: 2683

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. Lundsgaard et al. US 2005/0128062 (discloses similar invention)
- 2. Dooley et al. US 2002/0098816
- 3. Birnbach et al. US 2002/0116080
- 4. Mault et al. US 2002/0109600
- 5. Casscells III et al. US 6,821,249
- 6. Cannon et al. US 6,549,792
- 7. Yokouchi et al. US 4,903,212

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. D'Agosta whose telephone number is 571-272-7862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen D'Agosta Primary Examiner

